

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,340	01/30/2004	Bryan R. Goring	T8467901US	5223	
26912 7590 10/13/2009 GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUITE 1600, 1	FIRST CANADIAN I	DAO, THU	DAO, THUY CHAN		
100 KING STF TORONTO, O		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CANADA		2192			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/767,340	GORING ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thuy Dao	2192		

	Thuy Dao	2192					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ei under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b)	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	slianaa with 27 CEB 44 27 must ba	Slad within two worth	a of the date of				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed on</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further oc</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bek</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	E below);					
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/Tuan Q. Dam/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

1) Claim 1 (Remarks, pp. 9-11):

Limitations at issue "...a set of provisioning instructions related to the content type, the provisioning instructions being customized for different subsets of versions of the application and being coupled to the application for specifying a provisioning application program interface (API) set for provisioning the content on the terminal "claim 1. lines 6-10).

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' arguments.

Jensen explicitly teaches:

a set of provisioning instructions related to the content type (e.g., FIG. 3, Provisioning Application 208, Provisioning API 222 includes Discovery, Subscription, or Delivery Provisioning APIs, [0028]-[0031]; FIG. 2, [0033], database 220 stores service/content types [0010], which are associated with Provisionina Application 208 and Provisionina API 222).

the provisioning instructions coupled to the application ([0025] and [0028])

for specifying a provisioning Application Program Interface (API) set (FIG. 2, Provisioning API 222, [0029])

for provisioning the content on the terminal ([0015], provisioning updates of existing services/contents on client devices; [0007] and [00025] provisioning/updating existing services/contents on client devices, wherein the existing services/contents on client devices as "the content on the terminal" as claimed).

2) Claims 21, 41, and 42 (Remarks, page 11);

Independent claims 21, 41, and 42 are similar in scope to claim 1, and therefore are also rejected as applied in claim 1.